	3			
Minute	Order	Form	(06/97))

United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

/ p~	١
(8	į
	•

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge	James B. Moran	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge		
CASE NUMBER	00 C 2746	DATE	5/9/2000	
CASE TITLE			ge County	
[In the following box (a) indicate the party filing the motion, e.g., plaintiff, defendant, 3rd party plaintiff, and (b) state briefly the nature of the motion being presented.]				
Memorandum Opinion and Order				

MOTION: [In the following box (a) indicate the party filing the motion, e.g., plaintiff, defendant, 3rd party plaintiff, and (b) state briefly the nature of the motion being presented.]						
	Memorandum Opinion and Order					
DOC	KET ENTRY	Y:				
(1)	□ F	Filed m	otion of [use listing	in "Motion" box above.]		
(2)		Brief in support of motion due				
(3)		Answer brief to motion due Reply to answer brief due				
(4)		☐ Ruling/Hearing on set for at				
(5)	(5) Status hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at					
(6)						
(7)	☐ Trial[set for/re-set for] on at					
(8)	– [[Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to at				
(9)	(9) ☐ This case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to] ☐ FRCP4(m) ☐ General Rule 21 ☐ FRCP41(a)(1) ☐ FRCP41(a)(2).					
(10) [Other docket entry] Enter Memorandum Opinion and Order. Because we conclude that plaintiffs, have failed to state a claim on which relief may be granted, the complaint is dismissed, without prejudice, pursuant to Section 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).						
(11)	. [[For fu	rther detail see order	attached to the original minute order.]		
	No notices requ	juired, ad	lvised in open court.			Document Number
	No notices req	•			number of notices	
	Notices mailed by judge's staff.					
Notified counsel by telephone. Docketing to mail notices.		•	MA	Y 0 9 2000	10	
✓ Mail AO 450 form.				docketing deputy initials	Ч	
	Copy to judge/magistrate judge.		\			
	WAH		courtroom deputy's	STIE HA 9- YANGO	date mailed notice	
			initials	Date/time received in central Clerk's Office	mailing deputy initials	<i>y</i>

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

SEAN PAUL KENNEDY and CATHERINE F. KENNEDY,		
Plaintiffs,)	
vs.)	No. 00 C 2746
DU PAGE COUNTY,)	
Defendant.)	

DOCKETED MAY 0 9 2000

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Plaintiff Catherine Kennedy and her son Sean Kennedy filed this action against

DuPage County alleging malicious prosecution, excessive bail, inadequate response by the

DuPage police, and other violations of the Fourth Amendment in conjunction with Sean's

arrest for car theft. Plaintiffs seek to proceed without prepayment of fees or costs pursuant
to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.

Although it appears from the financial information provided that plaintiffs are eligible for the fee waiver, §1915 also mandates the dismissal of a suit brought *in forma* pauperis if the court determines that it is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. §1915(e)(2)(B)(ii). A complaint fails to state a claim if "it appears beyond a doubt that the plaintiff can prove no set of facts in support of his claims which would entitle him to relief." Conley v. Gibson, 355 U.S. 41, 45-46 (1957).

The <u>Younger</u> doctrine prohibits a federal court from interfering with pending state criminal proceedings. See <u>Younger v. Harris</u>, 401 U.S. 37 (1971). We are not authorized,

therefore, to assess the constitutionality of Sean's arrest, detention or prosecution until all criminal and appellate proceedings have concluded, and then only under certain conditions.

Because we conclude that plaintiffs have failed to state a claim on which relief may be granted, the complaint is dismissed, without prejudice, pursuant to §1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

JAMES B. MORAN

Senior Judge, U. S. District Court

May 9, 2000.